



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,252	12/03/2001	Hawley K. Rising III	080398.P427	9370
0	7590 01/16/2007 KOLOFF TAYLOR & ZA	EXAMINER		
12400 WILSHI	RE BOULEVARD	NGUYEN, HUY THANH		
SEVENTH FLO LOS ANGELE	OOR S, CA 90025-1030	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2621	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer		10/005,252	·	RISING ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		HUY T. NGUYE		2621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICTORY IN THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM THE MAILING THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM THE MAILING TH	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire, c, cause the application	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•	·				
			nal					
,—	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
<u>ال</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practice under z	-x parte Quayle,	1933 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application	•						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				•			
′=	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ement.					
- ,				•				
Applicati	on Papers			· .				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if t	he drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			·				
	•		E I I C O S 440/-\	(-1) (5)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	•		•					
÷								
Attachmen	tie)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Notice of Informal Page		**			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/27/04,5/30/06</u> . 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear how a medium can provide instructions. It is suggested that in claims 39-41, lines 1-2, "A computer readable medium that provide instructions ... perform operation comprising " needed to be changed to – A computer readable medium encoded with a computer program instructions, which when read and executed by a processor to control an apparatus to perform an operation method comprising the steps of --

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-15,17,20-34 and 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sekiguchi et al (6,665,442).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 21,23,23,30-31 and 37-41, Sekiguchi discloses a method for processing descriptions of audiovisual content (column 39, line 40 to column 40, line 25), the method comprising:

creating a first description of audiovisual content;

defining information pertaining to reuse of the first description; and

storing the first description and the information pertaining to reuse of the

first description in a repository of descriptive data to enable subsequent reuse of
the first description (column 44).

Regarding claims 2, 20,24 and 32, Sekiguchi teaches the method of claim I wherein the first description is a semantic description (column 4, lines 1-50).

Regarding claims 3, 11,25 and 33, Sekiguchi teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the first description is a description scheme column 39, lines 40-50).

Regarding claims 4, 12,16 and 34 Sekiguchi further teaches the method of claim I wherein the information pertaining to reuse of the first description indicates whether the first description can be embedded into a second description of audiovisual content without changing an intended meaning of the first description (column 39, lines 50-65).

Regarding claims 5 and 27, Sekiguchi further teaches the method of claim I wherein the information pertaining to reuse of the first description indicates whether the first description can be divided into a plurality of partial descriptions, each of the plurality of partial descriptions being suitable for subsequent reuse (column 40, lines 10-25).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claims 6 and 28, Sekiguchi further teaches the method of claim I wherein the information pertaining to reuse of the first description indicates whether the first description can be transformed when reused to create a second description of audiovisual content (column 39, lines 50-65).

Regarding claims 7 and 29, Sekiguchi further teaches the method of claim I wherein the information pertaining to reuse of the first description indicates whether the first description can maintain transitive capability if the first description is reused to create a second description of audiovisual content (column 39, lines 40-65)

Regarding claims 8 and 13, Sekiguchi further teaches the method of claim I further comprising: reusing a plurality of descriptions stored in one or more repositories of

descriptive data a number of times to provide de facto standardization of the plurality of descriptions by category (column 40, lines 5-25).

Regarding claim 9, Sekiguchi discloses a method for reusing descriptions of audiovisual content (column 39,,lines 28 to column 4, lines 25, column 44), the method comprising:

finding existing descriptive data that should be included in a new description of audiovisual content;

analyzing reuse information associated with the descriptive data; and creating the new description using the existing descriptive data and the associated reuse information (column 39, line 60 to column 40, line 25).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 10, Sekiguchi further teaches the new description is a semantic description (column 43, lines 5-25).

Regarding claim 11, Sekiguchi further teaches the new description is a description scheme.

scheme (column 43, lines 4-25).

Regarding claim 12, Sekiguchi further teaches the descriptive data is at least a portion of one or more existing descriptions of audiovisual content. (column 43, line 5-20).

Regarding claim 13, Sekiguchi further teaches retrieving the descriptive data from one or more repositories of descriptive data (Fig. 10).

Regarding claim 14,. Sekiguchi teaches the method of claim 9 wherein creating the new description further comprises:

converting the existing descriptive data into a partial description; and mapping the partial description to the new description (column 39, lines 60-64).

Regarding claim 15, Sekiguchi teaches the method of claim 9 wherein creating the new description further

comprises:

accessing a portion of the existing descriptive data in a repository of descriptive data; and

mapping the portion of the existing descriptive data to the new description (column 39 lines 50-68).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 17, Sekiguchi further teaches the method of claim 9 wherein creating the new description further comprises:

including a reference to the existing descriptive data into the new description (column 39, lines 60-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 16,18-19 and 35-36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiguchi et al in view of Official Notice

Regarding claims 16, 18-19 and 35-36, Sekiguchi fails to teaches using dictionary mapping of object in the description for the description, mechanism for

Art Unit: 2621

performing graph operations and the new description is created using an object oriented inheritance mechanism. However, it is noted that using dictionary mapping., mechanism for performing graph operations and the description is created using a object oriented inheritance graph is well known in the art. See specification page 21 of the present application. Therefore official notice is taken and it would it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Sekiguchi by using dictionary mapping object, mechanism for performing graph operations and object oriented inheritance graph for the description as alternative method for creating the description

Page 7

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H.N

HUY NEWEN PRIMATE EXAMINED